EXHIBIT "A"

Case 4:07-cv-02257-CW Document 12-2 Filed 05/14/2007 Page 2 of 38 JEFFREY M. VUCINICH, ESQ. BAR#: 67906 PATRICK R. CO, ESQ. BAR#: 200160 JOSHUA W. ROSE, ESQ. BAR#: 191024 JAN - 9 2007 CLAPP, MORONEY, BELLAGAMBA and VUCINICH GORDON - Marrie, Clark A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION CACHELLAND STATE BELLEVILLE 1111 Bayhill Drive, Suite 300 San Bruno, CA 94066 (650) 989-5400 (650) 989-5499 FAX Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant/Cross-Defendant PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CASE NO.: CGC-03-419761 (Consolidated SIFA TUIAKI and LUPE TUIAKI, with 04-432476) Plaintiffs, PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION ٧. FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC February 13, 2007 Date: COMPANY, PAULEY 9:30 a.m. Time: CONSTRUCTION, INC., TRAFFIC 301 SOLUTIONS, INC., ADÉLPHIA Department: TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC., SBC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., MOBILE TOOL INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba TELSTA, COUNTY OF MENDOCINO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP, SBC OPERATIONS, INC., and DOES 1 to 50, Defendants. TO ALL PARTIES HEREIN AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on February 13, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. or soon thereafter as the 25

matter can be heard in Department 301 of the above-noted Court, located at 400 McAllister Street, San Francisco, California 94102, Cross-defendant PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC. will, and do hereby

G:\Data\DOCS\0017\03419\jmt-plead-not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE **PLEADINGS**

move pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §438 for entry of judgment on the pleadings in favor of defendant PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC. and against Cross-complainant ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION. The motion will be made on the ground that the Cross-complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute causes of action for breach of contract and express indemnity and are barred by law.

This motion is based on this Notice of Motion, on the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings served and filed herewith, on the Request to Take Judicial Notice, and on the files and records of this case; and any other oral and documentary evidence as may be presented at the hearing of this motion.

DATED: January, 200

CLAPP, MORONEY, BELLAGAMBA and VUCINICH

By:

JEFFREY M. VUCINICH

JØSHUA W. ROSE

Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant/

Cross-Defendant

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.

G:\Data\DOCS\0017\03419\jmt-plead-not

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS 2

SIFA TUIAKI v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-03-419761

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

9 10

X

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1111 Bayhill Drive, Juite 300, San Bruno, CA 94066. On the date indicated below, I served the within:

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

on the parties in this action by transmitting a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in the following manner:

(BY MAIL) Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1013(a), I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as set forth below, and deposited each envelope with postage fully prepaid to be placed for collection and mailing following the ordinary business practices of Clapp, Moroney, Bellagamba & Vucinich. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing of in affidavit.

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I	caused to be delivered	by hand this date each	of the above
documents, to the following:			

(BY FACSIMILE) I caused each of the above documents to be faxed this date to the offices of the following:

(BY FEDEX) I caused each of the above documents to be sent by FedEx this date to the offices of the following:

(BY LexisNexis FILE & SERVE) I caused to be delivered by LexisNexis File & Serve this date each of the above documents, for which our office will maintain the LexisNexis filing receipt, to the following:

Executed on January 8, 2007 at San Bruno, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Chaudia Gomez

TUIAKI v. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

San Francisco Superior Court Case No.: CGC-04-419761 Our Client: Pauley Construction, Inc. Our File No.:0017-03419

SERVICE LIST

Timothy G. Tietjen Rouda, Feder, Tietjen & Zanobini 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 4000 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 398-5398 Facsimile: (415) 398-8169 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sifa Tuiaki and Lupe Tuiaki	Steven P. Burke, Esq. Matthew C. Lovell, Esq. Peter J. Messrobian, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold One Market Plaza Steuart Tower, 8th Floor San Francisco,. CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 781-7900 Facsimile: (415) 781-2635 Attorneys for Defendant Pacific Gas & Electric Company
Robert Ford Rueben Jacobson Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP One Sansome Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-2580 Facsimile: (415) 434-0882 Attorneys for Defendants SBC West/Pacific Telesis Group and ADELPHIA TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC.	Steven D. Werth Mark Hazelwood Low, Ball & Lynch 505 Montgomery Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-2584 Telephone: (415) 981-6630 Facsimile: (415) 982-1634 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant S.G. BARBER
Ralph Robinson Peter R. Crane Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP 525 Market Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2725 Tel: (415) 433-0990 Fax: (415) 434-1370 Attorneys for Defendant Mobile Tool International, Inc.	Ray L. Wong, Esq. Duane Morris LLP One Market Street Spear Tower, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 957-3000 Fax: (415) 957-3001 e-mail: rlwong@duanemorris.com Attorneys for American Premier Underwriters, Inc. and General Cable Corporation

Jorge Franco, Esq.

Jennings, Haug & Cunningham LLP 2800 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1800

Phoenix, AZ 85004

Tel: (602) 234-7810 (direct)

Cell: (602) 312-0888 Fax: (602) 277-5595 e-mail: jf@jhc-law.com

e-mail: <u>if@jhc-law.com</u>

Personal Counsel for Pauley Construction,

Inc.

G:\Data\DOCS\0017\03419\jmt-plead-mpa

6

7

8

9

10

11

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

is attached, as Exhibit A, to the Request to Take Judicial Noticed filed herewith). The Cross-complaint contains five (5) causes of action, all of which name Pauley. The first cause of action alleges implied equitable indemnity. The second cause of action alleges contribution. The third cause of action seeks declaratory relief. The fourth cause of action alleges breach of contract. The fifth cause of action alleges express indemnity.

For the reasons stated below, Pauley now moves for judgment on the pleadings on the grounds that Adelphia's fourth cause of action, for breach of contract fails, as the Cross-complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute that cause of action against Pauley. In addition, Adelphia's fifth cause of action, for express indemnity fails, as the Cross-complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute that cause of action against Pauley.

II. ARGUMENT

A. A MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS IS APPROPRIATE.

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 438(c)(1)(B)(ii), a cross-defendant is entitled to judgment on the pleadings if the cross-complainant's cross-complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action. In addition, a cross-defendant can bring a motion as to the entire cross-complaint or any of the causes of action stated therein. C.C.P. § 438(c)(2)(A). See *Kabehie v. Zoland* (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 513, 519.

B. THE FACTS PLED IN ADELPHIA'S CROSS-COMPLAINT ARE INSUFFICIENT TO SUPPORT ITS FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

Adelphia's Cross-complaint states that on or about July 7, 2000, it entered into a written contract with Pauley for the Mendocino Rebuild Construction. (Cross-complaint 4:12-22) Adelphia's Cross-complaint states that Pauley breached the agreement by failing to defend and indemnify Adelphia. (Cross-complaint 9:12-16) Adelphia's Cross-complaint further states that as the result of Pauley's breach, it has been damaged in an amount according to proof, including, but not limited to attorney fees in defending this action. (Cross-complaint 9:16-21)

G:\Data\DOCS\0017\03419\jmt-plead-mp

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS 3

Plaintiff must plead and prove the following essential elements to establish a cause of action for damages for breach of contract: (1) the contract; (2) plaintiff's performance or excuse for nonperformance; (3) defendant's breach; and (4) resulting damage to plaintiff. *Reichert v. General Ins. Co.* (1968) 68 Cal.2d 822, 830; *Lortz v. Connell* (1969) 273 Cal.App.2d 286, 290 (plaintiff's complaint fails to indicate either that plaintiff performed all that he was obligated to perform prior to that date, or that defendants had prevented him from rendering such performance); *Otworth v. Southern Pac. Transportation Co.* (1985) 166 Cal.App.3d 452, 459 (trial court properly sustained the Southern Pacific's demurrer as to the contract cause of action since Otworth's complaint includes no assertion that Otworth has either performed the contract or is excused from performing); *Walsh v. West Valley Mission Community College Dist.* (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1532, 1545. The plaintiff must prove ability to perform. *Ersa Grae Corp. v. Fluor Corp.* (1991) 1 Cal.App.4th 613, 625.

Here, to state a cause of action for breach of contract, Adelphia must plead the contract, its performance of the contract or excuse for nonperformance, Pauley's breach and the resulting damage. However, on its face, Adelphia's Cross-complaint fails to state a cause of action for breach of contract. It omits the required, essential element that Adelphia has performed under the contract. It includes no assertion that Adelphia has either performed the contract or is excused from performing.

In fact, Adelphia's ability to perform its obligations under the contract was extinguished on June 25, 2002, when it filed Chapter 11 Bankruptcy. Not only did Adelphia omit, in its Cross-complaint, an essential element of its purported breach of contract claim, but it cannot seek to enforce a contract against Pauley that it has not performed and cannot perform. Thus, Adelphia's fourth cause of action, for breach of contract fails, as the Cross-complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute that cause of action against Pauley.

C. THE FACTS PLED IN ADELPHIA'S CROSS-COMPLAINT ARE INSUFFICIENT TO SUPPORT ITS FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR EXPRESS INDEMNITY.

Adelphia's fifth cause of action for express indemnity states that the agreement between Adelphia and Pauley contains an express indemnity provision which provides that Pauley will indemnify and hold harmless Adelphia from any and all liability. (Cross-complaint 9:27-28; 10:1-9).

Adelphia claims that it is entitled to express indemnity from Pauley as a result of the terms of the written agreement. (Cross-complaint 10:7-9)

Adelphia's fifth cause of action is simply another way of restating the breach of contract cause of action above. For the reasons stated above, it also fails. The Cross-complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute an express indemnity cause of action against Pauley. Again, Adelphia has failed to plead an essential element, that it performed its obligations under the contract containing the indemnity terms.

III. CONCLUSION

Adelphia has failed to state facts sufficient to constitute a causes of action for breach of contract and express indemnity. Specifically, Adelphia has failed to plead an essential element, that it performed under the contract. As such, Adelphia's fourth cause of action for breach of contract and its fifth cause of action for express indemnity are barred as a matter of law. Pauley respectfully requests that this motion for judgment on the pleadings be granted without leave to amend.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLAPP, MORONEY, BELLAGAMBA and VUCINICH

By:

JEFFRÆY M. VUCINICH

JOSHUA W. ROSE

Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant/

Cross-Defendant

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.

SIFA TUIAKI v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-03-419761

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

X

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1111 Bayhill Drive, Suite 300, San Bruno, CA 94066. On the date indicated below, I served the within:

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

on the parties in this action by transmitting a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in the following manner:

(BY MAIL) Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1013(a), I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as set forth below, and deposited each envelope with postage fully prepaid to be placed for collection and mailing following the ordinary business practices of Clapp, Moroney, Bellagamba & Vucinich. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing of in affidavit.

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

1	(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused to be delivered by hand this date each of the above
1	documents, to the following:

(BY FACSIMILE) I caused each of the above documents to be faxed this date to the offices of the following:

(BY FEDEX) I caused each of the above documents to be sent by FedEx this date to the offices of the following:

(BY LexisNexis FILE & SERVE) I caused to be delivered by LexisNexis File & Serve this date each of the above documents, for which our office will maintain the LexisNexis filing receipt, to the following:

Executed on January 8, 2007 at San Bruno, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

CLAUDIA GOMEZ

TUIAKI v. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

San Francisco Superior Court Case No.: CGC-04-419761 Our Client: Pauley Construction, Inc. Our File No.:0017-03419

SERVICE LIST

Timothy G. Tietjen Rouda, Feder, Tietjen & Zanobini 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 4000 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 398-5398 Facsimile: (415) 398-8169 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sifa Tuiaki and Lupe Tuiaki	Steven P. Burke, Esq. Matthew C. Lovell, Esq. Peter J. Messrobian, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold One Market Plaza Steuart Tower, 8th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 781-7900 Facsimile: (415) 781-2635 Attorneys for Defendant Pacific Gas & Electric Company
Robert Ford Rueben Jacobson Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP One Sansome Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-2580 Facsimile: (415) 434-0882 Attorneys for Defendants SBC WEST/PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP and ADELPHIA TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC.	Steven D. Werth Mark Hazelwood Low, Ball & Lynch 505 Montgomery Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-2584 Telephone: (415) 981-6630 Facsimile: (415) 982-1634 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant S.G. BARBER
Ralph Robinson Peter R. Crane Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP 525 Market Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2725 Tel: (415) 433-0990 Fax: (415) 434-1370 Attorneys for Defendant Mobile Tool International, Inc.	Ray L. Wong, Esq. Duane Morris LLP One Market Street Spear Tower, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 957-3000 Fax: (415) 957-3001 e-mail: rlwong@duanemorris.com Attorneys for American Premier Underwriters, Inc. and General Cable Corporation

Jorge Franco, Esq.

Jennings, Haug & Cunningham LLP 2800 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1800

Phoenix, AZ 85004

Tel: (602) 234-7810 (direct)

Cell: (602) 312-0888 Fax: (602) 277-5595 e-mail: jf@jhc-law.com

e-mail: <u>if@jhc-law.com</u>

<u>Personal Counsel for Pauley Construction</u>,

Inc.

Case 4:07-cv-02257-CW Document 12-2 Filed 05/14/2007 Page 14 of 38 ENDORSED San Francisco Co., and Superfee Court JEFFREY M. VUCINICH, ESQ. BAR#: 67906 PATRICK R. CO, ESO, BAR#: 200160 JOSHUA W. ROŚE, ESQ. BAR#: 191024 JAN - 9 2007 2 CLAPP, MORONEY, BELLAGAMBA and VUCINICH GORDON HARRELL Clork A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 3 1111 Bayhill Drive, Suite 300 San Bruno, CA 94066 4 (650) 989-5400 (650) 989-5499 FAX 5 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant/Cross-Defendant PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC. 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 9 10 CASE NO.: CGC-03-419761 (Consolidated 11 SIFA TUIAKI and LUPE TUIAKI, with 04-432476) Plaintiffs, 12 PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE 13 V. PURSUANT TO ITS MOTION FOR PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS 14 COMPANY, PAULEY February 13, 2007 CONSTRUCTION, INC., TRAFFIC Date: 15 SOLUTIONS, INC., ADELPHIA Time: 9:30 a.m. TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC., 301 Department: 16 SBC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., MOBILE TOOL INTERNATIONAL, INC. 17 dba TELSTA, COUNTY OF MENDOCINO, STATE OF 18 CALIFORNIA, PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, PACIFIC 19 TELESIS GROUP, SBC OPERATIONS, 20 INC., and DOES 1 to 50, Defendants. 21 22 23 TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT: 24

Pursuant to Evidence Code Section 452(d) and 453, Cross-defendant PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC. respectfully requests that this Court take judicial of the files and the following specified pleadings and documents from, Sifa Tuiaki and Lupe Tuiaki v. Pacific Gas and

28

25

26

27

G:\Data\DOCS\0017\03419\jmt-plead-jud-not2

Filed 05/14/2007

Page 15 of 38

ADBLPHIA'S CROSS-COMPLAINT AGAINST PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, IN

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

SANSOME STREET, SUITE 1400 FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104 TELEPHONE (415) 362-2580

SANF

1.

28

2

3

4

- 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

otherwise, of cross-defendants ROBS 1 through 50 are unknown to cross-complainant, who therefore sues said cross-defendants by such fictitious names. Cross-complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the cross-defendants designated herein as a fictitious cross-defendant is in some manner responsible for the events and happenings referred to, and is obligated to indemnify cross-complainant as alleged herein. Cross-complainant prays for relief to amend this cross-complaint to allege their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained.

- 2. Cross-complainant alleges upon information in the belief that cross-defendant Pauley Construction, Inc. is a duly licensed corporation authorized to do business in California.
- 3. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and on that basis alleges, that at all times relevant each of the cross-defendants were and are doing business in the state of California, County of San Francisco, and was the agent and employee of each of the remaining cross-defendants, and was acting within the scope and purpose of said agency and employment.
- 4. Cross-complainant refers to the operative first amended complaint filed herein by the plaintiffs in the matter entitled Sifa Tuiaki and Lupe Tuiaki v. Adelphia Communications

 Corporation, et al, San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-03-419761

 (consolidated with CGC-04-432476 and related consolidated actions), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 to this cross-complaint and incorporated herein by reference, except that cross-complainant denies each and every, all in singular, generally and specifically, the allegations contained therein and so far as said allegations relate to or refer to this cross-complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Implied Equitable Indemnity Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 5. Cross-complainant incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 4 of the general allegations.
- 6. Cross-complainant alleges that in the event Cross-complainant is found in some manner legally liable to plaintiffs, to other cross-complainants, or to anyone else as a result of the events and occurrences described in the aforementioned complaint attached as Exhibit 1 hereto, and/or related cross-complaints, cross-defendants, and each of them, were negligent or in some

-4758-9632.1

-2-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

.13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

manner legally responsible in whole or in part for the damages, if any, sustained by plaintiffs, or other cross-complainants. In the event this cross-complainant is indeed held jointly liable with cross-defendants, cross-defendants shall be required to pay to this cross-complainant, plaintiffs or other cross-complainants a sum equal to the proportional share of plaintiffs' or other cross-complainant's damages proximately caused by the negligent and/or tortious conduct of cross-defendants.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Contribution Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 7. Cross-complainant incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 4 of the general allegations.
- 8. Cross-complainant alleges that in the event Cross-complainant is found in some manner legally liable to plaintiff, other cross-complainants, or to anyone else as a result of the events and occurrences described in the aforementioned Complaint, and/or Cross-Complaints, then any injuries and/or damages to plaintiffs or other cross-complainants are solely and/or substantially caused by the negligence and carelessness of cross-defendants. Therefore cross-complainant is entitled to have the quantum of negligence of cross-defendants determined by this Court.
- 9. Cross-complainant further alleges that in the event Cross-complainant is found in some manner legally liable to plaintiffs, other cross-complainants, or to anyone else as a result of the events and occurrences described in the aforementioned Complaint, and/or Cross-Complaints, this cross-complainant is entitled to contribution from cross-defendants in any amount which cross-complainant pays to plaintiffs or other cross-complainants in excess of this cross-complainant's pro rata share of the judgement.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 10. Cross-complainant incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 4 of the general allegations.
- 11. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy exists between this cross-complainant and cross-defendants in relation to the following:

4851-4758-9632.1

-3-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

 $\cdot 11$

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

	a.	The respective liability	y for plaint	iffs and/or ot	ther cross-com	plainants damage	s, if
any;			•				•

- b. Whether cross-defendants must defend and/or indemnify this cross-complainant for damages which Cross-complainant may be obligated to pay to plaintiffs and/or other cross-complainants; and
- c. Whether cross-defendants must pay the reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred by this cross-complainant in the defense of this action.
- 10. A declaration of respective liability and rights to indemnity is necessary as this cross-complainant has no other adequate remedy at law and such declaration will avoid circuity and multiplicity of actions that will otherwise be required if this cross-complainant must defend this action and then bring a separate action against cross-defendants.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract Against Pauley Construction, Inc. and ROES 1 through 10, inclusive, only)

- 11. Cross-complainant incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 4 of the general allegations.
- 12. Cross-complainant and cross-defendant Pauley Construction, Inc. (hereinafter "Pauley") entered into a contract made as of the 7th day of July, 200, wherein Pauley and ROE cross-defendants agreed to be the general contractor for the Mendocino Rebuild Construction. A copy of this contract is attached to this pleading as Exhibit 2 and is incorporated by reference herein in its entity. Pursuant to Exhibit 2, Pauley was required to comply fully with all regulations, standards and statutes with respect to occupational health and safety.
- 13. Pursuant to Exhibit 2, Pauley and ROE cross-defendants agreed to keep in force the following insurance:

23. INSURANCE

All policies shall contain all endorsements, including but not limited to independent Contractors, broad form damage, products and completed operations, explosion, collapse and underground hazard, comprehensive

4851-4758-9632.1

form, contractual insurance and personal injury. No policy may be canceled or materially changed without Adelphia's written consent. Adelphia shall receive no less than sixty (60) days prior written notice of any such proposed cancellation or material change. Adelphia reserves the right to terminate this Agreement in the event of a breach or noncompliance with this Agreement.

The Contractor shall furnish Adelphia with a copy of all policies of insurance required by this Section 24 as well as a certificate of insurance evidencing the existence of the aforementioned insurance and naming Adelphia as additional insured, delivered to the appropriate municipality, power company, telephone company and such other parties as may be required, certificates of insurance evidencing such insurance overage and naming such parties as additional named insured.

The contractor shall obtain, as its sole cost and expense, any additional insurance or added coverage as may be necessary under local law.

Adelphia's cable television franchise or advisable in Contractor's opinion to protect all the on-site property and equipment of Adelphia or third parties or

any of Contractor's employees or subcontractors.

Before commencing work, Contractor shall procure and maintain insurance of the kinds and limits enumerated hereunder and on terms and with an insurance carrier satisfactory to Adelphia. Certificates of such insurance issued by the Contractor's insurance carrier shall be filed with Adelphia before the commencement of such work and shall set forth the following:

A. Workmen's Compensations

Contractor's possession of Workmen's Compensation Insurance in accordance with the laws of the State of CALIFORNIA.

B. Comprehensive General Liability

4851-4758-9632.

-5-

ADELPHIA'S CROSS-COMPLAINT AGAINST PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

\$1,000,000 - per-occurrence \$1,000,000 - per occurrence			
\$1,000,000 - per occurrence			
\$ 500,000 - per occurrence			
D. Comprehensive Automobile Liability - Including non-owned and hired			
\$1,000,000			

\$1,000,000 Bodily injury b.

E. Umbrella Liability Insurance \$5,000,000

F. Property Damage

That the Contractor has insured in the joint names of Adelphia and the Contractor for the work to be performed hereunder against all loss or damage from whatever causes, other than for excepted risks for which insurance cannot be obtained.

G. Notice of Termination or Cancellation

That the foregoing insurance coverage shall not be terminated or cancelled unless Adelphia is given sixty (60) days prior written notice by the insurance carrier.

Cross-complainant is to be named as additional named insured on Pauley's insurance policy under the terms of Exhibit 2.

Pursuant to Exhibit 2, Pauley and ROE cross-defendants also agreed to indemnify, 14. hold harmless and defend cross-complainant against any claims, damages, losses, expenses, and attorneys' fees that may be sustained by or recovered against them pursuant to the performance of Exhibit 2 by Pauley or any subcontractors hired by Pauley. However, Pauley has refused to accept cross-complainant's tender of its defense and indemnity despite cross-complainant's written request that Pauley accept its defense and indemnity. The relevant portion of Exhibit 2 states as follows:

INDEMNIFICATION 24.

Contractor agrees to indemnify, defend and hold Adelphia harmless A. from any and all liability, claims, damages, causes of action,

2

3

4

5

6

.7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

expenses, fines, judgments, sums of money awarded by arbitration or otherwise and any demands whatsoever arising out of or resulting from the acts, omissions or wrongdoing on the part of any employee, officer, agent, servant or representative of Contractor or any subcontractor engaged by Contractor. This indemnification from any and all liability, claims and demands whatsoever made by reason of the injury or death of any person or the physical damage to property or property rights or by reason of the termination, disturbance, interruption or other interference with services of any type including, without limitation, any aerial or underground installation, utility or other facility damaged, harmed or disturbed, or caused to be damaged, harmed or disturbed by contractor or subcontractor. Contractor shall be responsible for each and every violation of easements and rights-of-way caused by the obstruction of streets, alleys, sidewalks and shall be responsible for any personal injury or death or physical damage to property resulting from such obstructions, or violations of easements or rights-of-way.

- B. Contractor shall also be fully responsible for and indemnify, defend and hold Adelphia harmless from any damages, losses or claims resulting from Contractor's failure to advise Adelphia of any problem or any nonconforming existing conditions, or any errors or omissions in the plans specifications pertaining to work to be performed by Contractor hereunder in accordance with this Agreement of which it is aware or reasonably should have been aware.
 - Contractor also specifically acknowledges and agrees that it shall

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

indemnify, defend and hold Adelphia harmless from all damages, claims or liabilities arising out of Contractor's failure to comply with the notice and mark out provisions of any utility regulation or practice.

- Contractor shall defend all suits and claims, and shall hold and save D. Adelphia harmless from liability of any nature or kind; including, but not limited to, costs and expenses for or on any account of infringement of any article or process supplied by Contractor, and incorporated or used in the work to be performed under this Agreement including its use by Adelphia.
- Contractor shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless Adelphia from B. and against all suits or claims based upon any injury (including death) or loss to any persons or property that may occur in the course of performance by this Agreement by Contractor whether such claim shall be made by any employee or subcontractor of Contractor or by a third person and whether or not it shall be claimed or found by a court of law or administrative authority that the injury (including death) was caused through the acts, omissions or wrongdoing of Contractor and, at its own expense, Contractor shall defend any and all such actions and shall pay all charges of attorneys and all costs and expenses arising therefrom. The limits of liability provided in any insurance policy shall not be a limit to Contractor's liability.
- Contractor shall indemnify and hold harmless Adelphia from and F. against all suits, claims, damages, costs, liabilities, including payment of reasonable attorney's fees, for or on account of

infringement of any U.S. patent relating to any article or process supplied by Contractor and incorporated or used in the work to be performed under this Agreement.

- Pauley also contracted under Paragraph 29 to be responsible for "SAFETY" as follows:
 - A. Contractor shall be responsible for safety related to and during the performance of the work hereunder. Contractor shall insure that its employees and the employees of its subcontractors are notified of and observe and abide by all safety regulations and laws including but not limited to those issued by Adelphia and any revisions of the foregoing that may hereinafter be applicable.
- 16. Cross-defendant Pauley and ROE cross-defendants have breached the agreement with cross-complainant by failing to defend and indemnify cross-complainant pursuant to this agreement in response to cross-complainant's tender of the defense and indemnity to Pauley and ROE cross-defendants.
- As a result of the foregoing breaches, acts and omissions of cross-defendant Pauley and ROE cross-defendants in breaching the agreement, cross-complainant has been damaged in an amount according to proof, including but not limited to attorney fees and costs in defending this action and is entitled to recover those attorney fees and costs from Pauley and ROE cross-defendants and is further entitled to indemnity.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Express Indemnity Against Pauley Construction, Inc. and ROES 1 through 10, inclusive, only)

- 24. Cross-complainant incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 4 of the general allegations and paragraphs 11 through 17, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein in support of this fifth cause of action.
- 25. Cross-complainant alleges that the agreement between cross-complainant and Pauley and ROE cross-defendants contains an express and implied indemnity provision which

 4851-4758-9632.1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

provides that Pauley and ROE cross-defendants will indemnify and hold harmless crosscomplainant from any and all liability, including reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, incurred in any legal action naming cross-complainant as a defendant, arising from cross-complainant's execution of duties under the agreements, and also arising from the acts or omissions of such cross-defendants and as against any parties who may allege (as against cross-complainant and/or such cross-defendant) injuries, damages, statutory violations, and any other allegations. Crosscomplainant has tendered its defense and indemnity in this action to Pauley, but its tender has thus far been refused. Based upon the foregoing, Cross-complainant is entitled to express indemnity from cross-defendants.

WHEREFORE, Cross-complainant prays for judgment against Pauley and ROE crossdefendants, as follows:

- For judgment against Pauley and ROE cross-defendants, and each of them declaring 1. that Pauley and ROE cross-defendants are under a duty to provide an immediate defense to crosscomplainant, to reimburse cross-complainant for costs and attorney fees incurred in the defense of this action due to Pauley and ROE cross-defendants' refusal to defend cross-complainant and to declare that Pauley and Roe cross-defendants indemnify cross-complainant for the full amount of any judgment entered against cross-complainant herein or any settlement entered into by crosscomplainant with plaintiffs herein;
- For judgment against Pauley and ROE cross-defendants, and each of them, 2. declaring that the liability for the damages alleged by plaintiffs must be born proportionally on a pro rata basis by plaintiffs, defendants, cross-complainant, cross-defendants, and each of them,
- For judgment against Pauley and ROE cross-defendants, and each of them, 3. declaring that cross-defendants are under a duty to contribute and indemnify cross-complainant in proportion to their own comparative responsibility for any damages plaintiffs might realize against cross-complainant;
- For total and complete indemnity for any judgment(s) rendered against cross-4. complainant,
 - For judgment in proportionate share from other cross-defendants; 5.

	4	C
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8]
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
:	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	

24

25

26

27

28

3

. 6	5.	For a judicial determination that cross-defendants were the legal cause of any
injuries :	and dar	mages sustained by plaintiffs, and that cross-defendants must indemnify cross-
complai	nant ei	ther completely or partially for any sums of money which may be recovered against
cross-cc	omplair	ant by plaintiff;

- For costs of suit and attorneys' fees according to proof, and 7.
- For such other and further relief as is fair, just, and equitable. 8.

DATED: November $\stackrel{?}{Q}$

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

Ву

Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

SIFA TUIAKI v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-03-419761

2

1

3

4 5

> 6 7

9

Χ

8

11 12

10

13

14

16

15

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1111 Bayhill Drive, Suite 300, San Bruno, CA 94066. On the date indicated below, I served the within:

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE PURSUANT TO ITS MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

on the parties in this action by transmitting a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in the following manner:

(BY MAIL) Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1013(a), I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as set forth below, and deposited each envelope with postage fully prepaid to be placed for collection and mailing following the ordinary business practices of Clapp, Moroney, Bellagamba & Vucinich. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing of in affidavit.

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

- (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused to be delivered by hand this date each of the above documents, to the following:
- (BY FACSIMILE) I caused each of the above documents to be faxed this date to the offices of the following:
- (BY FEDEX) I caused each of the above documents to be sent by FedEx this date to the offices of the following:
- (BY LexisNexis FILE & SERVE) I caused to be delivered by LexisNexis File & Serve this date each of the above documents, for which our office will maintain the LexisNexis filing receipt, to the following:

Executed on January 8, 2007 at San Bruno, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

CLAUDIA GOMEZ

TUIAKI v. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

San Francisco Superior Court Case No.: CGC-04-419761 Our Client: Pauley Construction, Inc. Our File No.:0017-03419

SERVICE LIST

Steven P. Burke, Esq. Timothy G. Tietjen Rouda, Feder, Tietjen & Zanobini Matthew C. Lovell, Esq. Peter J. Messrobian, Esq. 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 4000 Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold San Francisco, CA 94104 One Market Plaza Telephone: (415) 398-5398 (415) 398-8169 Steuart Tower, 8th Floor Facsimile: San Francisco,. CA 94105 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sifa Tuiaki and Lupe Tuiaki Telephone: (415) 781-7900 Facsimile: (415) 781-2635 Attorneys for Defendant Pacific Gas & Electric Company Steven D. Werth Robert Ford Mark Hazelwood Rueben Jacobson Low, Ball & Lynch Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 505 Montgomery Street, 7th Floor One Sansome Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94104 San Francisco, CA 94111-2584 Telephone: (415) 981-6630 (415) 362-2580 Telephone: (415) 434-0882 Facsimile: (415) 982-1634 Facsimile: Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Attorneys for Defendants S.G. BARBER SBC WEST/PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP and ADELPHIA TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC. Ray L. Wong, Esq. Ralph Robinson Duane Morris LLP Peter R. Crane One Market Street Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Spear Tower, Suite 2000 Dicker, LLP San Francisco, CA 94105 525 Market Street, 17th Floor Tel: (415) 957-3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-2725 Fax: (415) 957-3001 Tel: (415) 433-0990 e-mail: rlwong@duanemorris.com Fax: (415) 434-1370 Attorneys for American Premier Attorneys for Defendant Underwriters, Inc. and General Cable Mobile Tool International, Inc. Corporation

Jorge Franco, Esq.

Jennings, Haug & Cunningham LLP 2800 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1800

Phoenix, AZ 85004

Tel: (602) 234-7810 (direct)

Cell: (602) 312-0888 Fax: (602) 277-5595 e-mail: jf@jhc-law.com

Personal Counsel for Pauley Construction,

Inc.

JEFFREY M. VUCINICH, ESQ. BAR#: 67906 PATRICK R. CO, ESQ. BAR#: 200160 JOSHUA W. ROSE, ESQ. BAR# 191024 CLAPP, MORONEY, BELLAGAMBA and VUCINICH A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1111 Bayhill Drive, Suite 300 San Bruno, CA 94066 (650) 989-5400 (650) 989-5499 FAX

SIFA TUIAKI and LUPE TUIAKI,

Plaintiffs,

TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC.,

SBC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

dba TELSTA, COUNTY OF MENDOCINO, STATE OF

INC., and DOES 1 to 50,

CALIFORNIA, PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, PACIFIC

MOBILE TOOL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

TELESIS GROUP, SBC OPERATIONS,

Defendants.



FEB - 6 2007



Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant/Cross-Defendant PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.

7

6

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC 14 COMPANY, PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC., TRAFFIC 15 SOLUTIONS, INC., ADELPHIA

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

28

27

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

> CASE NO.: CGC-03-419761 (Consolidated with 04-432476)

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. REPLY TO ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

Date:

February 13, 2007

Time:

9:30 a.m.

Department:

301

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC. ("Pauely") briefly Replies to the arguments made in ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION'S ("Adelphia") Opposition to Pauley's Motion for Judgement on the Pleadings in turn.

First, Pauley's motion is not procedurally defective. There is no trial date set at this time.

G:\Data\DOCS\0017\03419\jmt-plead-reply

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. REPLY TO ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

24

25

26

27

28

Unless the Court orders otherwise, the statutory motion for judgment on the pleadings cannot be made after entry of a pretrial conference order or 30 days before the initial trial date, whichever is later. (C.C.P. §438(e).) On January 14, 2004, the Court set the matter for trial to begin on July 19, 2004, unless objected to. The parties objected, so the trial date was never actually set. Thereafter, on June 28, 2004, the Court set the matter for trial to begin on January 3, 2005, unless objected to. The parties objected, so the trial date was never actually set. Thereafter, on August 10, 2005, the Court set the matter for trial to begin on February 14, 2006, unless objected to. The parties objected, so the trial date was never actually set. In fact, each time, the case was removed from the master jury calendar. "A motion for judgment on the pleadings can be made at any time either prior to the trial or at the trial itself." (Stoops v. Abbassi (2002) 100 Cal.App.4th 644, 650.) While this case was decided before C.C.P. § 438 was enacted, it is still good law and the Court may rely on it. Pauley's motion is timely. Finally, even if the Court determines that Pauley's motion is untimely, which it is not, it has the discretion to advance the interests of all parties by hearing the motion and avoiding a trial. (See Burnett v. Chimney Sweep (2004) 123 Cal.App 4th 1057.) All parties have settled with plaintiffs, thus the only remaining issue in this litigation is Adelphia's express contractual indemnity claim arising out of its Cross-complaint against Pauley.

Second, Adelphia's tender to Pauley is irrelevant here. It does not prove that Adelphia "must have performed." Adelphia tried to argue this in its motion for summary adjudication against Pauley. The motion was denied. Although pleadings are to be liberally construed, Adelphia's opposition fails to account for its missing element. The fact remains that Adelphia's Cross-complaint omits the required, essential element that Adelphia has performed under the contract. In addition, Adelphia's counsel cannot ethically and in good faith claim now that Adelphia has either performed the contract, or is excused from performing, because it hasn't and it isn't.

This brings us to Pauley's final point. If Adelphia is permitted to amend its facially deficient Cross-complaint, then Pauley will move this Court for an order of sanctions against Mr. Jacobsen, or other counsel executing an amended Cross-complaint on Adelphia's behalf, under

California Code of Civil Procedure Section 128.7. Section 128.7 states in relevant part:

(a) Every pleading...shall be signed by at least one attorney of record in the attorney's individual name...(b) By presenting to the court, whether by signing, filing, submitting, or later advocating, a pleading...an attorney...is certifying that to the best of the person's knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, all of the following conditions are met: (1) It is not being presented primarily for an improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation. (2) The claims, defenses, and other legal contentions therein are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law. (3) The allegations and other factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery. (4) The denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on a lack of information or belief. (c) If, after notice and a reasonable opportunity to respond, the court determines that subdivision (b) has been violated, the court may, subject to the conditions stated below, impose an appropriate sanction upon the attorneys, law firms, or parties that have violated subdivision (b) or are responsible for the violation. In determining what sanctions, if any, should be ordered, the court shall consider whether a party seeking sanctions has exercised due diligence..."

Here, Adelphia's counsel cannot certify that Adelphia has performed or is excused from performing its contractual duties under the July 7, 2000 Adelphia - Pauley contract. On June 25, 2002, Adelphia filed for Chapter 11 Bankruptcy, thus breaching the contract. Adelphia's attempt to amend its Cross-complaint now is unwarranted by existing law and lacks evidentiary support.

Respectfully submitted.

19 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

DATED: February 6, 2007

CLAPP, MORONEY, BELLAGAMBA and VUCINICH

By:

JEFFREY M. VUCINICH

JOSHUA W. ROSE

Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant/

Cross-Defendant

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.

26

25

27

28

G:\Data\DOCS\0017\03419\jmt-plead-reply

3

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. REPLY TO ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

SIFA TUIAKI v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-03-419761

3

1

2

4 w

5

7

8

10

1112

13

14

X

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1111 Bayhill Drive, Suite 300, San Bruno, CA 94066. On the date indicated below, I served the within:

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. REPLY TO ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

on the parties in this action by transmitting a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in the following manner:

(BY MAIL) Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1013(a), I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as set forth below, and deposited each envelope with postage fully prepaid to be placed for collection and mailing following the ordinary business practices of Clapp, Moroney, Bellagamba & Vucinich. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing of in affidavit.

(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused to be delivered by hand this date each of the above documents, to the following:

Robert Ford Rueben Jacobson

Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP

One Sansome Street, Suite 1400

San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-2580

Facsimile: (415) 434-0882

Attorneys for Defendants

SBC WEST/PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP and ADELPHIA TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC.

(BY FACSIMILE) I caused each of the above documents to be faxed this date to the offices of the following:

(BY FEDEX) I caused each of the above documents to be sent by FedEx this date to the offices of the following:

(BY LexisNexis FILE & SERVE) I caused to be delivered by LexisNexis File & Serve this date each of the above documents, for which our office will maintain the LexisNexis filing receipt, to the following:

Executed on February 6, 2007 at San Bruno, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

SIFA TUIAKI v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-03-419761

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10 11 X

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

. .

24

2526

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1111 Bayhill Drive, Suite 300, San Bruno, CA 94066. On the date indicated below, I served the within:

PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC'S. REPLY TO ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

on the parties in this action by transmitting a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in the following manner:

(BY MAIL) Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1013(a), I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as set forth below, and deposited each envelope with postage fully prepaid to be placed for collection and mailing following the ordinary business practices of Clapp, Moroney, Bellagamba & Vucinich. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Fostal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing of in affidavit.

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

 (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused to be delivered by hand this date each of the above
documents, to the following:

(BY FACSIMILE) I caused each of the above documents to be faxed this date to the offices of the following:

(BY FEDEX) I caused each of the above documents to be sent by FedEx this date to the offices of the following:

(BY LexisNexis FILE & SERVE) I caused to be delivered by LexisNexis File & Serve this date each of the above documents, for which our office will maintain the LexisNexis filing receipt, to the following:

Executed on February 6, 2007 at San Bruno, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and dorredt.

CLAUDIA GOMEZ

TUIAKI v. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

San Francisco Superior Court Case No.: CGC-04-419761 Our Client: Pauley Construction, Inc. Our File No.:0017-03419

SERVICE LIST

Timothy G. Tietjen Rouda, Feder, Tietjen & Zanobini 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 4000 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 398-5398 Facsimile: (415) 398-8169 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sifa Tuiaki and Lupe Tuiaki	Steven P. Burke, Esq. Matthew C. Lovell, Esq. Peter J. Messrobian, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold One Market Plaza Steuart Tower, 8th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 781-7900 Facsimile: (415) 781-2635 Attorneys for Defendant Pacific Gas & Electric Company
	Steven D. Werth Mark Hazelwood Low, Ball & Lynch 505 Montgomery Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-2584 Telephone: (415) 981-6630 Facsimile: (415) 982-1634 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant S.G. BARBER
Ralph Robinson Peter R. Crane Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP 525 Market Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2725 Tel: (415) 433-0990 Fax: (415) 434-1370 Attorneys for Defendant Mobile Tool International, Inc.	Ray L. Wong, Esq. Duane Morris LLP One Market Street Spear Tower, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 957-3000 Fax: (415) 957-3001 e-mail: rlwong@duanemorris.com Attorneys for American Premier Underwriters, Inc. and General Cable Corporation

Jorge Franco, Esq.

Jennings, Haug & Cunningham LLP 2800 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1800

Phoenix, AZ 85004

Tel: (602) 234-7810 (direct)

Cell: (602) 312-0888 Fax: (602) 277-5595 e-mail: <u>if@jhc-law.com</u>

Personal Counsel for Pauley Construction,

Inc.

26

27

28